1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 1917

Case No. C-07-5944 JST

This Order Relates To:

ORDER REGARDING DEADLINE FOR REOUESTS TO FILE CERTAIN NEW **MOTIONS**

ALL DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS

At Tuesday's Case Management Conference, the Court set out a tentative schedule for trial of the remaining cases. The Court will finalize trial dates during a trial setting conference, approximately two weeks following the hearing on final approval for the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiff ("IPP") class settlement. See ECF No. 4164 at 2.

The deadlines for summary judgment motions, motions <u>in limine</u>, and <u>Daubert</u> motions have all passed. See ECF No. 2459 at 3-4. The Court does not anticipate that there will be a significant need for additional motions beyond those already filed. Nonetheless, the Court recognizes that in rare instances, discovery conducted after the prior motions deadline, rulings on existing motions, or other case events may provide good cause for the filing of an additional motion. The Court now sets a deadline of April 12, 2016 for the filing of requests for leave to file an additional such motion.

Any request for leave to file an additional motion must be filed in accordance with the civil local rules on administrative motions, should avoid arguing the merits of the underlying motion to any significant degree, and must show good cause why new discovery or an intervening order of ///

28 ///

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4261 Filed 12/17/15 Page 2 of 2

Northern District of California United States District Court

the Court made it impossible for the motion to be filed within the original deadlines set for such motions. Absent such a showing, the Court is likely to deny any request to file a new motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 17, 2015

JON S. TIGAR nited States District Judge